# Farmponds for Horticulture: Boon or Curse? Analyzing Impact on Farm Profitability, Resource Sustainability and Social Welfare

Pooja Prasad, Milind Sohoni Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai <u>pooja.lodha@gmail.com</u>

## Organization

- Introduction: Context and the problem statement
- Conceptual model
- Model setup, baseline calibration and scenario details
- Results
- Conclusion

## Indian agriculture: the emerging situation

- >50% population in farming; but agricultural output is 15% of GDP
- Government push to increase farm incomes by promoting horticulture
  - High value fruit and vegetable crops; water intensive with need for assured water
- Rising uncertainty in water availability
  - Erratic rainfall, frequent droughts and depleting ground water levels
- Farmponds for water assurance
  - Tremendous popularity in the past decade
  - *"Miracle drought-proofing tool"; "game-changer in Indian agriculture", "response to climate change"* [Ansari 2016, Pawar 2012]
  - State Government has announced "Magel tyala shettale" (Farm pond for anyone who demands it)

## Farm ponds

- Large plastic lined ponds: Not rainwater harvesting but groundwater storage
- Built in areas with groundwater (GW) uncertainty
- Filled with groundwater during monsoon, used in summer months *large* evaporation losses
- Owned by economically better off farmers with larger landholding
- Considered by many as exploitative and environmentally unsustainable [Kale 2017]



## Objective: Farmponds - Boon or curse?

- To assess the impact of ground water filled farm ponds
  - Multiple dimensions: hydrological, economic and social
  - Multiple stakeholders: Farmers, state agencies, politicians, economists, environmentalists, policy makers
  - Multiple goals: to raise farm incomes, to be drought-resilient, judicious use of a scare resource, maintain social welfare
  - Dynamic analysis is crucial because agents adapt and respond
- Model based on extensive data gathering and field observations in different parts of Maharashtra state

## Conceptual model: Feedback due to GW uncertainty

Feedback due to rising groundwater uncertainty



## Conceptual Model: Feedback due to profitability

Feedback due to relative profitability of farmers with farmponds



7

## Conceptual Model: Feedback due to profitability



8

## Conceptual Model: Putting it together



## Model Setup

- Biophysical attributes based on attributes of Gondala village, Hingoli district
  - Geomorphology, soil properties, cropping patterns etc.
- Generalized approach: Watershed divided in 2 zones
  - Zone 1: recharge zone hilly, poor quality shallow soil causing high runoff
  - Zone 2 discharge zone better soils, comparatively water rich, primarily agricultural land
- Objective: to study the impact of creating farm ponds in zone 2. System boundary includes both zones to capture stream and ground water flows





## **Baseline Calibration**

- Monthly time-step (t=0 is June 1<sup>st</sup>); monsoon rains (t = 0 to 4); winter crop or Rabi extraction (t=5 to 8)
- Model run for 5 years



Baseflow out : Current subsurface flow : Current

#### Well behavior and GW flows consistent with field observations

#### Change in cropping pattern

• New FP triggers shift in cropping pattern and change in ground water demand



## Farmpond losses and GW risk factor

- Monthly ground water demand = crop water demand + water demand to fill FP
- Ratio of GW demand to GW availability: GW risk factor
  - As risk factor rises, more farmers build farm ponds



14

## Profitability and feedback to new FP creation

- Yield and Profitability for each type of farmer computed by allocating groundwater based on priority
- Feedback loop: Relative profitability of FP owning farmers to traditional farmers results in new farmers building FPs



### Results: Hydrological impact



## Results: Economic and social impact



## Results: Economic and social impact



## Results: Economic and social impact



## Conclusion

- Farmpond: contentious topic with strongly polarized stakeholders' views
- Possible to compute a band of operation within which farm ponds can be beneficial without being unsustainable
- Necessary to regulate this limit of farm pond use. System does not self regulate. Profitable for individuals to invest in farm ponds even as community loses as a whole, ultimately leading to the tragedy of the commons
- Important implications for government programs such as the National Horticulture Mission which subsidize horticulture and creation of groundwater filled farmponds



## References

- Ansari, Munazir Md., 2016. Changing Notion of Sustainability: A Case of Kadwanchi and Adgaon Watershed in Maharashtra, M.A. Thesis, TISS
- Central Ground Water Board, 2016. Ground Water Year Book India 2015-16, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation
- Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 2014. Cost of Cultivation, Production and Related Data, Government of India Gol
- Government of India, 2013. Managing water stress in rain-fed areas with farm ponds, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare
- Government of India, 2014. Mission for Integrated Development of Horticulture Operational Guidelines, Horticulture Division, Department of Agriculture
- Government of India, 2015a. Horticulture Statistics at a Glance 2014, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare
- Government of India, 2015b. Raising Agricultural Productivity and making Farming Remunerative for Farmers, NITI Aayog
- Government of India, 2016. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2016, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare
- Government of Maharashtra, 1993. Maharashtra Groundwater (Regulation for drinking water purposes) Act 1993
- Government of Maharashtra, 2009. Maharashtra Groundwater (Development and Management) Act 2009
- Hamid Balali and Davide Viaggi, 2015. Applying a System Dynamics approach for Modeling Groundwater Dynamics to Depletion under different economical and climate change scenarios, Water, 7, 5258-5271
- Kale 2017. Problematic uses and practices of farm ponds in Maharashtra. Economic and Political Weekly, Vol LII No.3

## References

- Kotir, J. et al. 2016. A system dynamics simulation model for sustainable water resources management and agricultural development in the Volta River Basin, Ghana, Science of The Total Environment, Vol 573,
- Ngigi, S.N., et al., 2005. Agro-hydrological evaluation of on-farm rainwater storage systems for supplemental irrigation in Laikipia district, Kenya, Agricultural Water Management, Volume 73, Issue 1
- Niazi, A. et al. 2014. A system Dynamics model to conserve arid region water resources through aquifer storage and recovery in conjunction with a dam, Water, 6, 2300-2321
- Pawar, C.B. et al., 2012. Watershed and Small Entrepreneurship Development: A Case of Kadwanchi Village of Jalna District of Maharashtra, India, Journal of Entrepreneurship and Management, Vol 1 Issue 1
- Reddy, D.N. and Mishra, S. (eds) 2009. Agrarian Crisis in India, Oxford University Press, New Delhi
- Wagner et al., 2011. Hydrological modeling with SWAT in a monsoon-driven environment: Experience from the Western Ghats, India, American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Vol 54(5)
- Wang, L. et al., 2016. A seamlessly coupled GIS and disturbed groundwater flow model, Environmental Modeling and Software, Vol.82
- Wisser, D. et al., 2010. The significance of local water resources captured in small reservoirs for crop production – A global-scale analysis, Journal of Hydrology, Volume 384, Issues 3–4 23