

1. Consider the following FLFL code:

```
let a = 3
  let f = (proc x (:= x (+ 1 x)))
    (begin
      (call f (begin (:= a (* 2 a)) a) )
      a)
  )
```

- (a) With the translation \mathcal{T} from FLFL to FLK! defined as in class, what would this expression evaluate to?
- (b) How would you modify your definition of \mathcal{T} so that the expression above evaluates to (the more intuitive value) 7? For simplicity you can assume that a `begin` construct has only two subexpressions, as in `(begin E_1 E_2)`.

Note that this issue would not show up in the code fragment discussed in class, because there, the (second) parameter which was bound to the argument involving the `begin` was only *read* by the procedure.

2. We discussed in class the syntactic translator \mathcal{T} which inputs an expression in a Fortran/Java-like language that uses implicit references (locations) and outputs an FLK! program that uses explicit cells and calls to `new`, `read` and `write`.

We wish to extend this translation to handle arrays. As discussed on 2002-08-30, we can use an *AEnv* to hold array-related bindings. But this means our “translation” is not quite syntactic, because it involves changes to runtime data structures, and so we might as well write a direct denotational semantics (\mathcal{E}) for the source (array-based) language.

- (a) Design \mathcal{E} for FLFL so that the following program finishes with $\mathbf{u} = (5, 7, 4)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (3, 9)$.

```
letarray u[3], v[2]
  (begin
    (:= u[0] 5) (:= u[1] 6) (:= u[2] 4)
    (:= v[0] 3) (:= v[1] 8)
    let p = (proc x (begin (:= x[1] 7) (:= x v) (:= x[1] 9)) )
      (call p u) )
  )
```

- (b) How would your design of \mathcal{E} need to change if the desired states of the arrays after execution of the above program are $\mathbf{u} = (3, 9)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (3, 8)$?
- (c) In yet another (not very useful) interpretation, the arrays may remain unchanged after they are initialized. Write down \mathcal{E} corresponding to this policy.

3. Based on the questions above, complete the following Java code in various ways and figure out that \mathcal{E} closest in spirit to the Java interpreter.

```
public class Array {
    static int vb[];
    static {
        vb = new int[3];
        vb[0] = 200; vb[1] = 201; vb[2] = 202;
    }
    static void mangle(int x[]) {
        // complete this procedure in various ways
    }
    static public final void main(String argv[]) {
        int va[] = new int[3];
        va[0] = 100; va[1] = 101; va[2] = 102;
        mangle(va);
        print(va);
        print(vb);
    }
    static void print(int y[]) {
        for ( int yi = 0; yi < y.length; yi++ ) {
            System.out.print(y[yi] + " ");
        }
        System.out.println();
    }
}
```