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Abstract

Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD) is one of the open problems in the area of natural language processing. Various supervised, unsupervised and knowledge based approaches have been proposed for automatically determining the sense of a word in a particular context. It has been observed that such approaches often find it difficult to beat the WordNet First Sense (WFS) baseline which assigns the sense irrespective of context. In this paper, we present our work on creating the WFS baseline for Hindi language by manually ranking the synsets of Hindi WordNet. A ranking tool is developed where human experts can see the frequency of the word senses in the sense-tagged corpora and have been asked to rank the senses of a word by using this information and also his/her intuition. The accuracy of WFS baseline is tested on several standard datasets. F-score is found to be 60%, 65% and 55% on Health, Tourism and News datasets respectively. The created rankings can also be used in other NLP applications viz., Machine Translation, Information Retrieval, Text Summarization, etc.
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1. Introduction

Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD) is the ability to identify the meaning of words in context in a computational manner (Navigli, 2009). It is one of the toughest areas in natural language processing (NLP). Recently, a lot of research has been done for making powerful WSD systems with supervised, semi-supervised and unsupervised techniques. In WSD, the heuristics of choosing the first listed sense in a dictionary is often found to be very hard for any WSD system. This WordNet First Sense (WFS) baseline is the most powerful baseline in WSD, even though it does not consider the context while assigning the senses. This baseline can be created by considering the sense-annotated statistics. For English, WFS baseline is created by using the frequencies of word senses from the sense-annotated SemCor corpus. Senses that have not occurred in SemCor are ordered arbitrarily. This WFS baseline is a very strong baseline in English WSD. Considering both precision and recall, only 5 of 26 systems in the Senseval-3 English all-words task beat this baseline. Our goal is to create WFS baseline for Indian language WordNets. We focus on Hindi language as the synsets of Hindi WordNet are not ranked according to the actual usage. This is because Hindi WordNet was built using a dictionary where words were picked up according to the alphabetical order.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a detailed description of Hindi WordNet. Hindi WordNet synset ranking methodology is explained in section 3. Section 4 gives statistics of the ranked synsets. Section 5 highlights the performance of WFS baseline on various domains. Discussion is given in section 6, followed by conclusion.

2. Hindi WordNet

Hindi WordNet 1 (HWN) is developed for capturing the fine-grained senses of Hindi language. It consists of synsets and semantic relations. It is a part of IndoWordNet2 (Bhattacharyya, 2010) which is the most useful multilingual lexical resource in Indian languages. HWN, inspired by English Wordnet, is created manually using lexical knowledge from various dictionaries. At first, the most common day-to-day words from a monolingual dictionary (Bhargava Adarsh Hindi Shabdkosh, ed. P. Ramchand) were incorporated. As soon as the last letter was reached, the whole process was repeated with the next set of common words. This was done till all the words in that dictionary were incorporated in Hindi WordNet. Then words from other dictionaries (Samantar Kosh, ed. Arvind Kumar, Nalanda Vishal Shabdi Sugar, ed. Shri. Navalji and Lokbharti Brihat Pramanik Hindi Kosh by Acharya Ramchandra Verma) were picked up.

The current statistics of HWN is given in Table 1. HWN is used in various NLP applications like Word Sense Disambiguation (Khapra et al., 2010) (Bhingardive et al., 2013) (Bhingardive et al., 2015), Information Retrieval (Atreya et al., 2013), Sentiment Analysis (Joshi et al., 2010) (Balamurali et al., 2012) (Kashyap and Balamurali, 2013), Machine Translation

1http://www.cfilt.iitb.ac.in/wordnet/webhwn/index.php
2Wordnets for Indian languages are developed in IndoWordNet project. Wordnets are available in the following Indian languages: Assamese, Bodo, Bengali, English, Gujarati, Hindi, Kashmiri, Konkani, Kannada, Malayalam, Manipuri, Marathi, Nepali, Punjabi, Sanskrit, Tamil, Telugu and Urdu. These languages cover 3 different language families, namely, Indo Aryan, Sino-Tibetian and Dravidian. http://www.cfilt.iitb.ac.in/indowordnet/
Figure 1: Synset Ranking Tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Synsets</th>
<th>Total Words</th>
<th>Polysemous Words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noun</td>
<td>29104</td>
<td>78837</td>
<td>16516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjective</td>
<td>6178</td>
<td>18792</td>
<td>3575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverb</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verb</td>
<td>6354</td>
<td>4816</td>
<td>1538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39069</td>
<td>104381</td>
<td>21847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Statistics of Hindi WordNet

3. Synset Ranking Methodology

For HWN synset ranking, we split the word-senses into three groups. Three human experts, who are native speakers of the language, were asked to rank the word-senses with the help of a synset ranking tool. This tool is developed for ranking the synsets of words of all POS categories. The screenshot of the tool is shown in figure 1. The tool provides the following functionalities to human experts.

- **Insert Ranking:** An input box is provided for the word and its POS. For a given input word and its POS, the tool displays all the synsets of that word extracted from Hindi WordNet with its default ranking. The tool also provides the frequencies of word-senses extracted from the sense-annotated corpus of various in-house datasets. Experts have been asked to rank the senses of a word based on this information and also his/her intuition. If the experts get confused or are unable to rank the synsets of a word, then he/she can skip the word from its ranking for the moment and move on to the next word.

- **Display Ranking:** An expert can see the already ranked synsets by providing a word and its POS.

- **Reset Ranking:** The experts have been given the facility of resetting the previous synset ranking of a word.

- **View Skipped Words:** All words which have been skipped by the experts are displayed for further discussion with other experts, leading to their ranking.

- **View Ranked Words:** The tool displays the words which are already ranked by the experts.

- **View Statistics:** The tool also provides statistics of the ranked synsets of words by all the experts who participated in the ranking process.

For some Hindi words, we can find different spelling variations. For example, the word ठंडा (ThaMDaa, cool) can be written as ठण्डा (ThaNDaa) or ठन्डा (ThanDaa). In such cases, the experts have been asked to rank synsets of only one variation of such words. The same ranking will be given to other variants of the word automatically.

4. Statistics of Synset Ranking

The statistics of synset ranking is shown in Table 2. As we can see in Table 2, we have ranked the synsets of 16516 nouns, 3575 adjectives, 208 adverbs and 1449 verbs till date. We are still in the process of ranking the newly-made synsets. These rankings are made available on CFILT\(^3\) website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Words whose synsets are ranked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noun</td>
<td>16516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjective</td>
<td>3575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverb</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verb</td>
<td>1449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Statistics of ranked synsets

5. Performance on WSD task

In order to see how well the synsets are ranked, we check the performance of the WSD task. In this the first listed sense i.e WordNet First Sense (WFS) is given to all words irrespective of the context in

\(^3\)http://www.cfilt.iitb.ac.in/Downloads.html
which they appear in the corpus. We considered standard datasets available freely for Hindi-Health, Hindi-Tourism, Hindi-News domains. The results are obtained in terms of precision, recall and F-score and are given in Table 3. F-score of WFS baseline on Health, Tourism and News domains was found to be 60%, 65% and 55% respectively.

We also compared this WFS baseline against some WSD algorithms as listed below:

- **EM-Context**: It is context-aware unsupervised WSD algorithm by Bhingardive et.al, (2013) which uses Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm for finding the sense distribution.
- **EM**: It is a basic EM based algorithm by (Khapra et al., 2011) which does not consider context while finding the sense distribution.
- **RB**: It is the Random Baseline where senses are randomly assigned to words.

The results of these WSD algorithms are shown in Table 4 and Table 5. As we can see in Tables, WFS baseline beats all WSD algorithms even though it assigns senses irrespective of context. Hence, it is clear that HWN synset rankings given by human experts are of good quality and thus can be used in other NLP applications too.

### Table 3: Performance of WFS baseline on WSD datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>62.29</td>
<td>58.10</td>
<td>60.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>67.81</td>
<td>64.07</td>
<td>65.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>58.32</td>
<td>52.53</td>
<td>55.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Performance of WSD algorithms on Health dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>NOUN</th>
<th>ADV</th>
<th>ADJ</th>
<th>VERB</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WFS (our)</td>
<td>58.69</td>
<td>76.64</td>
<td>58.73</td>
<td>64.31</td>
<td>60.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM-Context</td>
<td>59.82</td>
<td>67.80</td>
<td>56.66</td>
<td>60.38</td>
<td>59.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>60.68</td>
<td>67.48</td>
<td>55.54</td>
<td>25.29</td>
<td>58.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>35.52</td>
<td>45.08</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>33.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Discussion

While ranking the HWN synsets, human experts faced some difficulties which are mentioned below. The solutions which were applied to such cases are also given.

- Some of the Hindi words (for instance नेत्रन - nandhanaa, to be harnessed) were very unfamiliar to human experts and hence making the ranking process difficult. In such cases, they took the help of dictionaries for ranking the synsets of such words.
- Synset ranking of highly polysemous words like निकलना (nikalanaa) (31 senses), निकलना (nikalanaa) (31 senses), लगना (laganaa) (25 senses), चढ़ना (chadhanaa) (21 senses), etc was found to be too tedious. For such words, the human experts were allowed to rank the top 10 most frequent senses of the words while rest of the senses were ranked according to the order given in the dictionary.

During the synset ranking process, various HWN synsets have been validated. Some of the examples are listed below.

- **Insertion of synset members**: While ranking the synsets of words such as निकलना (nikalanaa), the experts added बर्खास्त करना (barkhaasta karanaa) as a new synset member in the synset of ID:11385.
- **Reordering of synset members**: In this, for example, the position of the word ठनना (Thananaa) in a synset (ID: 13494) has been changed from 2nd position to 3rd position in the synset. The updated order of the synset members are {अड़ना, उत्तर होना, ठनना, अरना} (aDanaa, utaaruu honaa, Thananaa, aranaa).
- **Deletion of synset members**: Some synset members were deleted. For example, the word उड़ाना (uDaanaa) has been deleted from the synset members of synset (ID=11952) because it was found to be an outlier due to the fine granularity of sense.
- **Insertion of new synsets**: It was found that some frequently used senses were missing and thus were added. For example, for the word चढ़ाना (chaDhaanaa), a new synset has been added in the sense of कजर् चढ़ाना (karja chaDhaanaa).
- **Deletion and merging of synsets**: During synset ranking, some synsets have been merged because of overlapping of senses. In this process some synsets had to be deleted. For example, Synset (ID= 36173) of the word उड़ाना (uDaanaa) has been deleted as it was found to be same as of Synset (ID= 36981).
- **Correction of Hindi-English linkages**: Some Hindi-English linkages have been corrected during the ranking process. For example, the English linkage of the word निकलना (nikalanaa) in the
synset with ID: 11385 was found to be inaccurate. The correct English linkage is found to be ‘depose, force_out force to leave (an office)’.

- **Correction of semantic relations:** Semantic relations have also been corrected during the ranking process. For example, during synset ranking of the word काटना (kāTaṇa), experts came to know that synset (ID:7691) was wrongly linked to synset (ID: 245) via hypernymy relation. Such wrong relations have been corrected.

7. Conclusion

We presented our work on manually ranking the synsets of Hindi WordNet. Human experts ranked the synsets of a given word by using the synset ranking tool which is developed for the ranking purpose. The tool provides the information about words and their senses and also the frequencies of word-senses extracted from the sense-annotated corpus. The created rankings are evaluated on WSD task and it is observed that WSD, when assigning the first ranked sense i.e. WFS, can outperform the other WSD algorithms which have been proposed earlier. This process of ranking has led to the validation of HWN. The created rankings can also be used in other NLP applications viz., Machine Translation, Information Retrieval, Sentiment Analysis, etc. The ranking tool created by us can be easily extended for ranking synsets of other Indian language wordnets.
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