

Substitution in FOL

Suppose $x \in \text{free}(\varphi)$ and t is any term.

We wish to replace every free occurrence of x in φ with t , such that free variables in t stay free in the resulting formula.

Term t is free for x in φ if no free occurrence of x in φ is in the scope of $\forall y$ or $\exists y$ for any variable y occurring in t .

- $\varphi \triangleq \exists y R(x, y) \vee \forall x R(z, x)$, and t is $f(z, x)$
- $f(z, x)$ is free for x in φ , but $f(y, x)$ is not

$\varphi[t/x]$: Formula obtained by replacing each free occurrence of x in φ by t , if t is free for x in φ

- For φ defined above,
 $\varphi[f(z, x)/x] \triangleq \exists y R(f(z, x), y) \vee \forall x R(z, x)$

$$t = \underline{f(z, z)}$$

$$\exists y \underline{R(x, y)} \vee \forall x \underline{R(z, x)}$$

x ↓ z ↓

```
bool R(int a, int b)
{
  ...
}
```

$$x \mapsto \underline{z + x}$$

$$z \mapsto x * z$$

```
result = false
for all int y.
  if R(x, y) is true then
    result = true; exit loop

result1 = true
for all int x.
  if R(z, x) is false then
    result1 = false;
    exit loop
return result || result1
```

Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

English reading: For every x and y , if $P(x, y)$ holds, we can find z distinct from x and y such that both $P(x, z)$ and $P(z, y)$ hold.

Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

English reading: For every x and y , if $P(x, y)$ holds, we can find z distinct from x and y such that both $P(x, z)$ and $P(z, y)$ hold.

Case 1:

- Variables take values from real numbers
- $P(x, y)$ represents $x < y$
- English reading simply states “real numbers are dense”
- φ is true

Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

$$x \leq y \rightarrow z \neq x \quad z \neq y \quad \underbrace{x \leq z \wedge z \leq y}$$

Case 2:

- Variables take values from **real numbers**
- $P(x, y)$ represents $x \leq y$
- English reading requires the following to be true
 - If $x = y$, there is a z such that $z \neq x$, $x \leq z$ and $z \leq x$
 - Thus, $z \neq x$ and $z = x$
- φ is **false**

Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$

Case 3:

- Variables take values from natural numbers
- $P(x, y)$ represents $x < y$
- English reading states that “natural numbers are dense”
- φ is false

Semantics of FOL: Some Intuition

$$\varphi \triangleq \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = x) \wedge \neg(z = y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)))$$


Case 3:

- Variables take values from **natural numbers**
- $P(x, y)$ represents $x < y$
- English reading states that “natural numbers are dense”
- φ is **false**

Truth of φ depends on the underlying set from which variables take values, and on how constants, functions, predicates are interpreted

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$



Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- 1 Universe U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, \overline{R}\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- ① *Universe* U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}
- ② *Interpretation* of vocabulary \mathcal{V} on U

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- ① *Universe* U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}
- ② *Interpretation* of vocabulary \mathcal{V} on U
 - Map each constant symbol to an element of U , e.g. $a \mapsto 0$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- ① *Universe* U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}
- ② *Interpretation* of vocabulary \mathcal{V} on U
 - Map each constant symbol to an element of U , e.g. $a \mapsto 0$
 - Map each n -ary function symbol to a function from U^n to U , e.g. $f(u, v) = u + v$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- 1 *Universe* U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}
- 2 *Interpretation* of vocabulary \mathcal{V} on U
 - Map each constant symbol to an element of U , e.g. $a \mapsto 0$
 - Map each n -ary function symbol to a function from U^n to U , e.g. $f(u, v) = u + v$
 - Map each m -ary predicate symbol to a subset of U^m
e.g. *Interp. for* $= : \{(c, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{N}\}$ – fixed interpretation
Interp. for $R : \{(c, d) \mid c, d \in U, c < d\}$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- ① *Universe* U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}
- ② *Interpretation* of vocabulary \mathcal{V} on U
 - Map each constant symbol to an element of U , e.g. $a \mapsto 0$
 - Map each n -ary function symbol to a function from U^n to U , e.g. $f(u, v) = u + v$
 - Map each m -ary predicate symbol to a subset of U^m
e.g. *Interp. for* $= : \{(c, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{N}\}$ – fixed interpretation
Interp. for $R : \{(c, d) \mid c, d \in U, c < d\}$

1 and 2 define a \mathcal{V} -**structure** $M = (U^M, (a^M, f^M, R^M))$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : E.g. $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

\mathcal{V} -formula: E.g. $\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$
 $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$

Truth of φ depends on

- 1 U Universe U from which variables take values, e.g. \mathbb{N}
- 2 Interpretation of vocabulary \mathcal{V} on U
 - Map each constant symbol to an element of U , e.g. $a \mapsto 0$
 - Map each n -ary function symbol to a function from U^n to U , e.g. $f(u, v) = u + v$
 - Map each m -ary predicate symbol to a subset of U^m
e.g. Interp. for $=$: $\{(c, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{N}\}$ – fixed interpretation
Interp. for R : $\{(c, d) \mid c, d \in U, c < d\}$

1 and 2 define a \mathcal{V} -structure $M = (\underline{U}^M, (\underline{a}^M, \underline{f}^M, \underline{R}^M))$

- 3 Binding (aka environment) $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow \underline{U}$
e.g. $\underline{\alpha}(y) = 2$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$?

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$
 - In prev. example, $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$
 - In prev. example, $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If φ is an atomic formula
 - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$ iff $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$ coincide
 - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ iff $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$
 - In prev. example, $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If φ is an atomic formula
 - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$ iff $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$ coincide
 - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ iff $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$
 - In prev. example, suppose $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$. Then $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$ as $(\bar{\alpha}'(x), \bar{\alpha}'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$.

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $M, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$
 - In prev. example, $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If φ is an atomic formula
 - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$ iff $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$ coincide
 - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ iff $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$
 - In prev. example, suppose $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$. Then $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$ as $(\alpha'(x), \alpha'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$.
- $M, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ iff $M, \alpha \not\models \varphi$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $M, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$
 - In prev. example, $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If φ is an atomic formula
 - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$ iff $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$ coincide
 - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ iff $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$
 - In prev. example, suppose $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$. Then $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$ as $(\alpha'(x), \alpha'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$.
- $M, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ iff $M, \alpha \not\models \varphi$
- $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ iff $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1$ and $M, \alpha \models \varphi_2$

Semantics of FOL: Formalizing the intuition

Given structure M and binding α , does φ evaluate to **true**?

Notationally, does $\mathbf{M}, \alpha \models \varphi$?

- Extend $\alpha : \text{free}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$ to $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Terms}(\varphi) \rightarrow U^M$
 - If t is a variable x , $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(x)$
 - If t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = f^M(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m))$
 - In prev. example, $\bar{\alpha}(f(y, a)) = f^M(\alpha(y), a^M) = 2 + 0 = 2$
- If φ is an atomic formula
 - $M, \alpha \models (t_1 = t_2)$ iff $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$ coincide
 - $M, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ iff $(\bar{\alpha}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(t_m)) \in P^M$
 - In prev. example, suppose $\alpha'(x) = 1, \alpha'(y) = 2$. Then $M, \alpha' \models R(x, f(y, a))$ as $(\alpha'(x), \alpha'(f(y, a))) = (1, 2) \in R^M$.
- $M, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ iff $M, \alpha \not\models \varphi$
- $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ iff $M, \alpha \models \varphi_1$ and $M, \alpha \models \varphi_2$
- $M, \bar{\alpha} \models \exists x \varphi$ iff there is some $c \in U^M$ such that $M, \alpha[x \mapsto c] \models \varphi$, where
 - $\alpha[x \mapsto c](v) = \alpha(v)$, if variable v is different from x
 - $\alpha[x \mapsto c](x) = c$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \underbrace{\exists x R(x, f(y, a))}_{x, y} \rightarrow \underbrace{\exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))}_{z, y}$$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

- $M = (\underbrace{\mathbb{N}}_{U^M}, (\underbrace{0}_{a^M}, \underbrace{u+v}_{f^M(u,v)}, \underbrace{u < v}_{R^M(u,v)}))$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

- $M = (\underbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, (\underbrace{0}^{a^M}, \underbrace{u+v}_{f^M(u,v)}, \underbrace{u < v}_{R^M(u,v)}))$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$, and $\alpha(y) = \underline{2}$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

- $M = (\underbrace{\mathbb{N}}_{U^M}, (\underbrace{0}_{a^M}, \underbrace{u+v}_{f^M(u,v)}, \underbrace{u < v}_{R^M(u,v)}))$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$, and $\alpha(\underline{y}) = 2$

- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

$y \mapsto 2, z \mapsto 1$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\underbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, (\underbrace{0}^{a^M}, \underbrace{u+v}_{f^M(u,v)}, \underbrace{u < v}_{R^M(u,v)}))$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$, and $\alpha(y) = 2$

- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

- Similarly, $M, \alpha[x \mapsto 0] \models R(x, f(y, a))$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists x R(x, f(y, a))$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

$$\bullet M = (\underbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, (\underbrace{0}^{a^M}, \underbrace{u+v}_{f^M(u,v)}, \underbrace{u < v}_{R^M(u,v)}))$$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$, and $\alpha(y) = 2$

- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

- Similarly, $M, \alpha[x \mapsto 0] \models R(x, f(y, a))$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists x R(x, f(y, a))$

- Finally, $M, \alpha \models \varphi$

Semantics of FOL: Illustration

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$$

- Vocabulary $\mathcal{V} : \{a, f, =, R\}$

- $M = (\underbrace{\mathbb{N}}^{U^M}, (\underbrace{0}^{a^M}, \underbrace{u+v}_{f^M(u,v)}, \underbrace{u < v}_{R^M(u,v)}))$

- $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{y\}$, and $\alpha(y) = 2$

- $M, \alpha[z \mapsto 1] \models (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$

- Similarly, $M, \alpha[x \mapsto 0] \models R(x, f(y, a))$

- Therefore, $M, \alpha \models \exists x R(x, f(y, a))$

- Finally, $M, \alpha \models \varphi$

- Note that if $\alpha'(y) = 1$, $M, \alpha' \not\models \varphi$