

---

## CS719 Mid-semester Exam #3

Max marks: 65

Time: 2 hours

---

- *The exam is open-book, open-notes and open-material-brought-to-exam-hall.*
- *Be brief, complete and stick to what has been asked. Unnecessarily lengthy solutions may be penalized.*
- *If you need to make any reasonable assumptions, state them clearly. Unreasonable assumptions run the risk of attracting penalty.*
- *If you need to use/cite results covered in class, you may simply cite the result, without going into a formal proof.*

• **Do not copy from others or indulge in unfair means.**

Students found indulging in such activities will be summarily awarded the FR grade.

1. [5 + 5 + 5 + 5 marks] Consider the first order logic sentence  $\varphi \equiv \exists x \forall y \exists z ((x = y) \vee (P(x, y, z) \wedge \sim P(y, x, z)))$ , where  $P$  is a ternary predicate.
  - (a) Show that for every natural number  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\varphi$  has a model of size  $n$  (the size of a model is the cardinality of its universe).
  - (b) Let  $M = (U^M, P^M)$  and  $N = (U^N, P^N)$  be arbitrary models of  $\varphi$ , where  $U^M$  and  $U^N$  are countably infinite. Show that there exists a model  $M \times N = (U^M \times U^N, P^{M \times N})$  of  $\varphi$ .
  - (c) Can the result of part (ii) above be generalized to any first-order logic sentence  $\psi$ ? Give brief justification for your answer.
  - (d) Now consider an arbitrary first order logic sentence  $\eta$  that **does not use the equality predicate**. A logician claims that if  $\eta$  has a model of finite size  $n > 0$ , then  $\eta$  must also have a model of size  $n + 1$ . If you think the logician is correct, provide a proof of the claim. Otherwise, provide a counterexample, i.e. a sentence  $\eta$  and a natural number  $n > 0$  such that  $\eta$  has a model of size  $n$  but no models of size  $n + 1$ .
2. [10 + 10 marks] Let  $\Sigma = \{T, =\}$  be a vocabulary, where  $T$  is a ternary (arity 3) predicate. Every  $\Sigma$ -structure  $M = (U^M, T^M)$  can be viewed as representing a directed graph  $\mathcal{G}^M$  with red- and black-coloured edges as follows:
  - The elements of  $U^M$  are the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}^M$ .
  - For all  $x, y, z \in U^M$ ,  $T^M(x, y, z)$  is true iff there exists a black edge from  $x$  to  $z$  and a red edge from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\mathcal{G}^M$ . Note that  $y$  and  $z$  may be identical, in which case  $x$  has both a black edge and a red edge to the same node ( $y$ ).

Graphs representable by first order logic sentences over  $\Sigma$  have the special property that for every node  $x$ , either there is no edge going out of  $x$ , or there are both black edge(s) and red edge(s) going out of  $x$ . Let us call the class of directed graphs having the above property as *red-black graphs*.

- (a) Given a red-black graph, a *source vertex* is a vertex that has no incoming edges, and a *non-cyclic path* is a directed path in which no two vertices are the same. Show that there does not exist any first order logic sentence  $\varphi$  on the vocabulary  $\Sigma$  such that  $M \models \varphi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G}^M$  is a red-black graph with a non-cyclic path from a source vertex such that the edge colour changes exactly once along this path.
- (b) Give a first order logic sentence  $\psi$  on the vocabulary  $\Sigma$  such that  $M \models \psi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G}^M$  is a red-black graph such that the set of red edges forms a forest (collection of disjoint trees), but the set of black edges does not form a forest.

3. [10 + 6 + 4 + 5 marks] Consider the following propositional logic formulae on the set of propositional variables  $\{p, q, r, s, t, u, v\}$ .

- $\varphi \equiv (p \vee u) \wedge (p \vee v) \wedge (q \vee (u \wedge v)) \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r) \wedge (\sim q \vee \sim r) \wedge (\sim r \vee u) \wedge (\sim r \vee q)$ .
- $\psi \equiv (\sim s \vee p) \wedge (\sim s \vee t) \wedge (\sim t \vee \sim u \vee q) \wedge ((p \wedge q) \vee (s \wedge u \wedge v))$

- (a) Show using ground resolution that  $\varphi \wedge \psi$  is unsatisfiable. In other words,  $\varphi \rightarrow \sim \psi$ .
- (b) Compute three semantically distinct Craig interpolants  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  and  $\phi_3$  for  $\varphi$  and  $\sim \psi$  such that  $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$  and  $\phi_1 \wedge \sim \phi_3$  is satisfiable.
- (c) Let  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  be propositional logic formulae such that  $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$  is unsatisfiable. Show that there always exists a semantically unique weakest interpolant (one that is logically implied by all other interpolants) and a semantically strongest interpolant (one that logically implies all other interpolants) for  $\eta_1$  and  $\sim \eta_2$ . Find the strongest and weakest interpolants for  $\varphi$  and  $\sim \psi$ .
- (d) Is it always possible to find such strongest and weakest interpolants for a pair of first order logic sentences that are together unsatisfiable?