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## The story so far

- Games discussed so far (EFGs) are of perfect information
- Every player has perfect knowledge about all the developments in the game until that round
- Limited use in certain setups:
- several games have states that are unknown to certain agents, e.g., card games like poker, reconnaissance blind chess ${ }^{a}$
- not possible to represent simultaneous move games using EFGs

[^3]
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Kingdom 2

|  | Agri | War |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agri | 5,5 | 0,6 |
| F War | 6,0 | 1,1 |

Neighboring Kingdom's Dilemma


- In IIEFG, indistinguishable nodes are connected via a dotted line.
- Kingdom 2 does not know which node/history the game is in
- These indistinguishable histories form an information set for player 2.
- More general representation than PIEFG since information sets can be singleton
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- $I_{i}^{j} \mathrm{~S}$ are called an information set of player $i$ and $I_{i}$ is the collection of information sets of $i$.
- At an information set, the player and her available actions are the same.
- The player is uncertain about which history in the information set is reached.
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- With IIEFG, NFGs can be represented using EFGs, although not very succinct.
- IIEFG is a richer representation than both NFG and PIEFG.
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## Randomized Strategies in IIEFGs

- Strategy set of $i: S_{i}=x_{j=1}^{j=k(i)} X\left(I_{i}^{j}\right)$
- In NFGs, mixed strategies randomize over pure strategies
- In EFGs, randomization can happen in different ways
- randomize over the strategies defined at the beginning of the game
- randomize over the action at an information set: behavioral strategy
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- Strategies?
- Pure Strategies $\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right),\left(L_{1} R_{2}\right),\left(R_{1} L_{2}\right),\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right)$.
- Mixed Strategy $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right), \sigma\left(L_{1} R_{2}\right), \sigma\left(R_{1} L_{2}\right), \sigma\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right)$.
- Behavioral Strategy $b_{1}, b_{1}\left(I_{1}\right) \in \Delta\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right), b_{2}\left(I_{1}\right) \in \Delta\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$
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## Definition
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## Question

Can we have an equivalence?

Equivalence in terms of the probability of reaching a vertex/history $x$

- Say $\rho(x ; \sigma)$ is the probability of reaching a node $x$ under mixed strategy profile $\sigma$
- Similarly, $\rho(x ; b)$ is the same for behavioral strategy profile $b$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(x ; \sigma) & =\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1}\right) \sigma_{2}(r) \\
& =\left(\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1} L_{2}\right)+\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \sigma_{2}(r) \\
\rho(x ; b) & =b_{1}\left(I_{1}^{1}\right)\left(R_{1}\right) \cdot b_{2}\left(I_{2}^{1}\right)(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Players can choose different kind of strategies

$$
\rho\left(x ; \sigma_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1} L_{2}\right)+\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right)\right) \cdot b_{2}\left(I_{2}^{1}\right)(r)
$$
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## Equivalence Definition

## Definition

A mixed strategy $\sigma_{i}$ and a behavioural strategy $b_{i}$ of a player $i$ in an IIEFG are equivalent if for every mixed/behavioral strategy $\xi_{-i}$ of the other players and every vertex $x$ in the game tree.

$$
\rho\left(x ; \sigma_{i}, \xi_{-i}\right)=\rho\left(x ; b_{i}, \xi_{-i}\right)
$$

Example (in the game above)

Equivalent strategies induce same probability of reaching a vertex.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}\left(I_{1}^{1}\right)\left(L_{1}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right)+\sigma_{1}\left(L_{1} R_{2}\right) \\
& b_{1}\left(I_{1}^{1}\right)\left(R_{1}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1} L_{2}\right)+\sigma_{1}\left(R_{1} R_{2}\right) \\
& b_{1}\left(I_{1}^{2}\right)\left(L_{2}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(L_{2} \mid R_{1}\right) \\
& b_{1}\left(I_{1}^{2}\right)\left(R_{2}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(R_{2} \mid R_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We call $b_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ are equivalent.
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## More on Equivalent Strategies

The equivalence, by definition, holds at the leaf nodes too

## Claim

It is enough to check the equivalence only at the leaf nodes.

Reason: Pick an arbitrary non-leaf node, the probability of reaching that node is equal to the sum of the probabilites of reaching the leaf nodes in its subtree.

## More on Equivalent Strategies

This argument can be extended further

## Theorem (Utility Equivalence)

If $\sigma_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are equivalent, then for every mixed/behavioural strategy vector of the other players $\xi_{-i}$, the following holds,

$$
u_{j}\left(\sigma_{i}, \xi_{-i}\right)=u_{j}\left(b_{i}, \xi_{-i}\right) \forall j \in N .
$$

Repeat the argument for any equivalent mixed and behavioral strategy profiles.

## Corollary

Let $\sigma$ and $b$ are equivalent, i.e., $\sigma_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are equivalent $\forall i \in N$, then $u_{i}(\sigma)=u_{i}(b)$.
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- A smaller number of variables to deal with
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## Question

Can we construct one from another?
OR
Does equivalence always hold?
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## Equivalence of strategies in IIEFGs (Example 2)
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## Answer

The equivalence does not hold if the players are forgetful
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## Observation from a graph viewpoint

(1) Let $x$ be a non-root node
(2) action at $x_{1}$ leading to $x$ : the unique edge emanating from $x_{1}$ that is on the path from root to $x$
(0) In example 2, there is a node that has a path from the root to itself that crosses the same information set twice
(1) If the path from the root to $x$ passes through vertices $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ that are in the same information set of player $i$, and the action leading to $x$ at $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ is different, then no pure strategy can ever lead to $x$
(0 Since mixed strategy is a randomization over pure strategies, every mixed strategy will put zero probability mass on $x$ but behavioral strategy randomizes on every vertex independently, hence $x$ may be reached in behavioral strategies with a positive probability
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## Lemma

If there exists a path from the root to some vertex $x$ that passes through the same information set at least twice, and if the action leading to $x$ is not the same at each of those vertices, then the player at the information set has a behavioral strategy that has no equivalent mixed strategy.

This lemma helps in proving the following characterization result of equivalence.

## Theorem (6.11 of MSZ)

Consider an IIEFG such that every vertex has at least two actions. Every behavioral strategy has an equivalent mixed strategy for a player iff each information set of that player intersects every path emanating from the root at most once.

Proof.
Homework. Reading exercise from MSZ.
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## Behavioral Strategy equivalent to Mixed Strategy

## Theorem (6.11 of MSZ)

Consider an IIEFG such that every vertex has at least two actions. Every behavioral strategy has an equivalent mixed strategy for a player iff each information set of that player intersects every path emanating from the root at most once.

## Behavioral Strategy equivalent to Mixed Strategy

## Theorem (6.11 of MSZ)

Consider an IIEFG such that every vertex has at least two actions. Every behavioral strategy has an equivalent mixed strategy for a player iff each information set of that player intersects every path emanating from the root at most once.

To formalize (i.e., to set the conditions when the equivalence holds), we need to formalize the forgetfulness of a player.

- saw few examples of players' forgetfulness.
- our conditions need to ensure that none of the previous types of forgetfulness happens.
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## Definition (Choice of same action at an information set)

Let $X=\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{K}\right)$ and $\hat{X}=\left(x_{0}, \hat{x}^{1}, \ldots, \hat{x}^{L}\right)$ be two paths in the game tree. Let $I_{i}^{j}$ be an information set of player $i$ that intersects these two paths only at one vertex, say $x_{k}$ and $x_{l}$ respectively.
These two paths choose the same action at information set $I_{i}^{j}$ if

- $k<K$ and $l<L$
- actions $x_{k}$ leading to $x_{k+1}$ and $\hat{x}^{l}$ leading to $\hat{x}^{l+1}$ are identical, and are denoted by $a_{i}\left(x^{k} \rightarrow x^{k+1}\right)=a_{i}\left(\hat{x}^{l} \rightarrow \hat{x}^{l+1}\right)$
'Leading to' may not be a relation between parent and child nodes, it can be any descendant of the former since the path is unique in a tree.
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Player $i$ has perfect recall if the following conditions are satisfied
(1) Every information set of player $i$ intersects every path from the root to a leaf at most once.
(2) Every two path that end in the same information set of player $i$ pass through the same information sets of $i$ in the same order and in every such information set the two paths choose the same action.

## Rephrasing

For every $I_{i}^{j}$ of player $i$ and every pair of vertices $x, y \in I_{i}^{j}$, if the decision vertices of $i$ are $x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2}, \ldots, x_{i}^{L}=x$ and $y_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{2}, \ldots, y_{i}^{L^{\prime}}=y$ respectively for the two paths from the root to $x$ and $y$, then
(1) $L=L^{\prime}$,
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(0) $a_{i}\left(x_{i}^{l} \rightarrow x_{i}^{l+1}\right)=a_{i}\left(y_{i}^{l} \rightarrow y_{i}^{l+1}\right), \forall l=1,2, \ldots, L-1$.
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## Definition

A game has perfect recall if every player has a perfect recall.

Note: Definition of perfect recall subsumes the condition where every behavioral strategy has equivalent mixed strategy


## Examples



Game with Perfect Recall: This example satisfies the conditions of the definitions.


## Examples



Game with Imperfect Recall: Player 1 takes two different actions at the first information set to reach two different vertices of the second information set.

## Implications of Perfect Recall

Let $S_{i}^{*}(x)$ be the set of pure strategies of player $i$ at which he chooses actions leading to $x$, i.e., intersections of members of $S_{i}$ with the path from root to $x$.

## Theorem

If $i$ is a player with perfect recall and $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are the two vertices in the same information set of $i$, then $S_{i}^{*}(x)=S_{i}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

The above conclusion comes from the same sequence of information sets and same actions. The next implication of mixed and behavioral strategies.
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## Implications of Perfect Recall

## Theorem (Kuhn 1957)

In every IIEFG, if $i$ is a player with perfect recall, then for every mixed strategy of $i$, there exists a behavioral strategy.

- The converse is already true since the sufficient condition for that is already subsumed in the definition of perfect recall.
- Proof left as reading exercise (MSZ Theorem 6.15)
- The proof is constructive. It starts with the mixed strategy and constructs the behavioral strategies such that the probabilities of reaching a leaf are same. The arguments show that such a construction is always possible because of perfect recall.
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